DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 266 808 JC 860 055

AUTHOR Vail, Evan
TITLE Riverside City College Students Who Transferred to the California State University and University of California.
INSTITUTION Riverside Community Coll. District, CA. Office of Research and Planning.
PUB DATE 85
NOTE 58p.
PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC03 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS College Admission; *College Transfer Students; Community Colleges; *Enrollment Trends; *Grade Point Average; Higher Education; Longitudinal Studies; Postsecondary Education; *State Colleges; *State Universities; Two Year Colleges; *Two Year College Students

ABSTRACT

These two reports provide a longitudinal perspective on Riverside City College (RCC) students who transferred to the California State University (CSU) and University of California (UC) systems. Data available on the CSU transfers include numbers of transfers, 1970-1984; RCC's share of total transfers to the CSU system; broad grade point averages (GPA's) of regular and exceptional admits, 1980-1983; percentages of regular and exceptional admits, 1980-1983; continuation rates, 1980-1983; average transfer GPA, 1980-1983; GPA's earned at CSU, 1980-1983; and GPA differentials, 1980-1983. For UC transfers, the data presented include numbers of first-time fall transfers, 1963-1984; basis for admission to UC, 1978-1983; total RCC entrants to the UC system, 1978-1983; RCC and UC GPA's of transfer students, 1963-83; UC majors of RCC transfers, 1979-1983; first-year performance of RCC transfers by UC campus; and ethnic distribution of RCC transfers. Selected findings include the following: (1) more RCC students transferred to CSU than UC; (2) over 90% of the RCC students entered CSU as regular admits, earning GPA's of 2.73, 2.71, and 2.71 for 1981, 1982, and 1983, respectively; (3) there was a steady increase in the number of RCC students transferring to the UC system from 1963 to 1975, followed by a sharp, steady decline until fall 1982; and (4) between 1978 and 1983, RCC had a much higher percentage of its transfers admitted by special action to the UC system than did all of California's community colleges (24% versus 14%). (EJV)

****
* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *
****

ED266808

RIVERSIDE COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

RIVERSIDE CITY COLLEGE STUDENTS WHO TRANSFERRED TO THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY AND UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

IC 860 055

Evan Vail, Dean

Office of Research and Planning

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

E. VAIL

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

☐ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

☒ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy.

RIVERSIDE COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

RIVERSIDE CITY COLLEGE STUDENTS WHO TRANSFERRED TO THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY

Office of Research and Planning
July, 1985

RIVERSIDE CITY COLLEGE STUDENTS WHO TRANSFERRED TO THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY

A. BACKGROUND

RCC has been involved in following up its transfer students for many years. While the University of California has long had a uniform reporting format for its eight campuses, the California State University has not. Each of the nineteen campuses reported using their own formats. Some were quite comprehensive, others were sketchy. Through various organizations (e.g. Cal State Fullerton Instructional Liaison Committee, Southern California Community College Institutional Research Association, etc.), RCC has attempted to convince CSU about the importance of a uniform reporting format. Apparently the problems (mostly monetary) were worked out because the California community colleges received their first annual system-wide report on the academic performance of their students in March of 1982. We received the fourth one this spring.

The system-wide community college report format is for the most part adequate (see Appendices) It shows the entering GPA from RCC for each campus and system-wide, the GPA's earned by our students at CSU and the differential. In addition, the average CSU campus GPA's are given for all California community college transfers and all undergraduate transfers admitted in the term of entry as well as the average CSU GPA for all native students (those who were admitted to CSU as first time freshman) and all undergraduate students in the student file. (see Appendices)

Among the statistics that UC provided until Fall, 1981, but CSU does not, is the entering GPA's for all community college transfers. While we can compare our CSU GPA's with CSU GPA's for all CCC students, we can't compare our GPA differential with the system-wide differential for all CCC students. Another set of statistics provided by UC but not CSU is the percentage of students below a C average and B or above. From the report, we can calculate these figures for RCC but cannot compare them with all CCC's to see how we are doing. For both UC and CSU, the rights and privacy act has seriously reduced our ability to track individual students and their majors.

All of the statistics involving GPA are only for continuing students rather than all entering students. Approximately two-thirds of RCC's entering students continue the following year.

Figure 1 compares first time fall transfers to both the California State University and the University of California. More of our students transfer to CSU than they do to UC, despite the fact that we have a UC campus only a few miles away. The percentage of students from the two institutions that CSU has captured ranges between a low of 59% to a high of 79%. During the early 70's, UC was attracting an increasingly larger portion of the pie. This could be attributed to the fact that UC Riverside dropped their foreign language requirement before Cal State, San Bernardino did. Beginning in 1975, CSU has appealed to more and more of our students.

Figure 2 compares the number of RCC students who transfer to CSU and UC with all California Community College transfers. For the years 1979-81, our decline was steeper than statewide. However, in 1982, we showed a 12.2% increase while statewide the increase was only .5%. RCC's share of total transfers to CSU and UC has ranged from 1.3% to 1.13% of all 107 California Community Colleges between 1978 and 1983. In earlier years it was somewhat higher.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

FIGURE 1

RIVERSIDE CITY COLLEGE STUDENTS
WHO TRANSFERRED TO
THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY AND THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

FIRST TIME FALL TRANSFERS



YEAR	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84*
# CSU	276	324	325	333	340	416	349	333	359	336	331	311	337	343	314
% +/- CSU		17	0	2	2	22	-16	-5	8	-6	-1	-6	8	2	-8
# UC	147	156	180	232	233	257	190	155	133	131	98	81	103	102	96
% +/± UC	27	6	15	29	0	10	-67	-35	-14	-2	-24	-17	27	-1	-6
TOT UC & CSU	423	480	505	565	573	673	539	488	492	467	429	392	440	445	410
CSU % OF TOTAL	65	68	64	59	59	62	65	68	73	72	77	79	77	77	77

*PRELIMINARY FIGURES FROM CALIFORNIA POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION COMMISSION (CPEC)

FIGURE 2

RIVERSIDE CITY COLLEGE STUDENTS
AND
ALL CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE STUDENTS
WHO TRANSFERRED TO
THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY AND THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

FIRST TIME FALL TRANSFER
1978-1983



YEAR	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984
RCC (N)	492	467	429	392	440	445	410
% +/-		-5.1%	-8.1%	-8.6%	+12.2%	+1.1%	-7.9%
CCC (N)	37,802	36,077	35,918	34,804	34,961	35,579	35,391
% +/-		-4.6%	-0.4%	-3.1%	+0.5%	+1.8%	-0.5%
RCC % OF CCC	1.30%	1.29%	1.19%	1.13%	1.26%	1.25%	1.16%

B. CAMPUS OF TRANSFER (Rank Order of Students Admitted and Enrolled)

CAMPUS	RANK				NO. OF STUDENTS			
	F80	F81	F82	F83	F80	F81	F82	F83
BAKERSFIELD	17	17.5	19	18	1	0	0	0
CHICO	17	8.5	10	14	1	5	4	3
DOMINGUEZ HILLS	17	17.5	16.5	11	1	0	1	4
FRESNO	8.5	13	13.5	6	6	3	2	7
FULLERTON	3	3	3	3	52	49	42	48
HAYWARD	14.5	17.5	13.5	18	2	0	2	0
HUMBOLDT	8.5	10	7.5	14	6	4	6	3
LONG BEACH	5	5	4	4	24	17	20	18
LOS ANGELES	7	14.5	6	7.5	7	2	7	6
NORTHRIDGE	11	6	9	11	4	12	5	4
POMONA	2	2	2	2	63	81	105	92
SACRAMENTO	6	8.5	11.5	9	8	5	3	5
SAN BERNARDINO	1	1	1	1	106	98	119	123
SAN DIEGO	4	4	5	5	37	18	9	15
SAN FRANCISCO	12.5	10.5	16.5	14	3	4	1	3
SAN JOSE	10	7	7.5	11	5	7	6	4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	12.5	10.5	11.5	7.5	3	4	3	6
SONOMA	14.5	17.5	16.5	16	2	0	1	2
STANISLAUS	19	14.5	16.5	18	0	2	1	0
TOTALS					331	311	337	343

C. PERCENTAGES BELOW C, C, AND B AND ABOVE



BEST COPY AVAILABLE

D. PERCENTAGE OF REGULAR ADMITS: EXCEPTIONAL ADMITS









E. CONTINUATION RATES FOR STUDENTS

	Fall, 1980		Fall, 1981		Fall, 1982		Fall, 1983	
	Reg Admits	Except Admits	Reg Admits	Except Admits	Reg Admits	Except Admits	Reg Admits	Except Admits
RCC	67%	65%	67%	52%	73%	70%	69%	68%
*All CCC's	NA	NA	73%	63%	73%	71%	73%	69%

*Information in cover letters from CSU.

F. AVERAGE TRANSFER GPA

	Fall, 1980			Fall, 1981			Fall, 1982			Fall, 1983		
	REG ADM	ALL ADM	EXC ADM	REG ADM	ALL ADM	EXC ADM	REG ADM	ALL ADM	EXC ADM	REG ADM	ALL ADM	EXC ADM
RCC	2.54		2.32	2.73		2.35	2.71		2.19	2.71		2.45
All CCC's		2.71			2.70			2.70			2.68	
All Transfers		2.73			2.72			2.72			2.70	
All Natives		2.67			2.65			2.66			2.64	
All CSU		2.71			2.70			2.69			2.68	

G. **GRADE POINT AVERAGES EARNED AT CSU (Columns 11 and 17)**
Average CSU GPA of RCC Transfer vs Average CSU GPA of All Undergraduate Students in CSU's Student File

REGULAR ADMITS



RCC GPA	2.54	2.73	2.71	2.71
CSU GPA	2.71	2.70	2.69	2.68
DIFFERENTIAL	-.17	+.03	+.02	+.03

EXCEPTIONAL ADMITS



RCC GPA	2.32	2.35	2.19	2.45
CSU GPA	2.71	2.70	2.69	2.68
DIFFERENTIAL	-.39	-.35	-.50	-.23

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

H. GRADE POINT AVERAGE DIFFERENTIALS

REGULAR ADMITS



	FALL, 1980	FALL, 1981	FALL, 1982	FALL, 1983
ENTRY GPA	2.86	2.91	2.84	2.82
CSU GPA	2.54	2.73	2.71	2.71
DIFF	-.32	-.18	-.13	-.11

EXCEPTIONAL ADMITS



	FALL, 1980	FALL, 1981	FALL, 1982	FALL, 1983
ENTRY GPA	2.57	2.18	2.40	2.18
CSU GPA	2.32	2.35	2.19	2.45
DIFF	-.25	+.17	-.21	+.27

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

I. ANALYSIS

1. The quality of RCC "regular admit" students entering CSU in the last three years (1981, 1982 and 1983) seems higher than the 1980 group. Twenty three percent of the latter earned grades below C at CSU, while only twelve and fourteen percent of the former fell into this category. Higher percentages of the 1981, 1982 and 1983 groups earned B averages and above at CSU than the 1980 group. Also, the GPA differential was lower for entrants of the last three years. The numbers of exceptional admits are too small to make meaningful comparisons.

2. The CSU report does not provide an overall transfer GPA for RCC students. What it provides are separate GPA's at entrance for regular admits and exceptional admits (Col. 12). RCC's overall GPA at entrance is somewhere between the GPA provided for the regular and exceptional admits, but cannot be calculated from the data given. The manner in which CSU presents the data at first leads one to believe that the data given in columns 14, 15, 16, and 17 is for regular admits in Part A and for exceptional admits in Part B. Such is not the case. The figures for those four columns are identical for both Part A and Part B.

   Nevertheless, because over 90% of our transfers were classified as regular admits, our regular admits with CSU GPA's of 2.73, 2.71 and 2.71 for 1981, 1982 and 1983 respectively, had higher GPA's than all CCC students, all transfers, all natives, and all CSU students (Columns 14, 15, 16, 17) in 11 out of the 12 possible comparisons. On the other hand, the smaller group of students, exceptional admits, had a lower GPA than the other four categories of students. Still, because of the separate statistics for regular and special admits, the information is not as useful as it could be.

3. The GPA differentials of -.32, -.18, -.13 and -.11 for our regular admits is, for the most part, somewhat higher than it was for our students prior to 1980. However, this steady improvement in the grade point average differential seems to indicate that our efforts in reducing grade inflation at RCC are beginning to bear fruit. For exceptional admits, the differential has been an up and down affair. One year the differential is negative, the next year positive. The +.27 for 1983 students is the widest differential and it is in favor of RCC.

CSUSTD/d

COMMUNITY COLLEGE REPORT FORMAT - SYSTEMWIDE

Col. 1 - All students from the subject institution admitted and enrolled for the term of entry shown.

Col. 2 - The number of regular admits for the term of entry shown. (If there were students admitted as exceptions, a companion report will be prepared for that group, with all columns identical except that this column (2) will report those admitted as exceptions.)

Col. 3 - The number of students from Column 2 who enrolled for the second year in the continuation term shown.

Col. 4 - The number of continuing students with no CSU campus GPA reported.

Col. 5 - 10 The number of continuing students with CSU GPA's falling in the specified ranges. (.01-4.00)

Col. 11 - The average CSU campus GPA of the continuing students included in Columns 5 - 10.

Col. 12 - The average transfer GPA of the continuing students in Columns 5 - 10.

Col. 13 - The differential between the average CSU GPA (Col. 11) and the average transfer GPA (Col. 12)

Col. 14 - The average CSU campus GPA of all community college transfers admitted in the term of entry. (CSU campus GPA not GPA's at college of prior enrollment)

Col. 15 - The average CSU campus GPA of all undergraduate transfer admitted in the term of entry. (CSU campus GPA not GPA's at college of prior enrollment)

Col. 16 - The average CSU GPA of all native students in the base file. (a native student is one who was admitted to the CSU as a first time freshman.)

Col. 17 - The average CSU GPA of all undergraduate students in the student file.

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY AND COLLEGES
FIRST TIME STUDENT PERFORMANCE REPORT

DATE - 03/08/82
INSTITUTION - RIVERSIDE CITY COLL
CODE - 335687

UNDERGRADUATE TRANSFERS
PART A REGULAR ADMITS

TERM OF ENTRY - FALL 1980
CONTINUATION TERM - FALL 1981

CSUC CAMPUS	TOT (1)	NO. (2)	CON (3)	GPA	NO 0.01 1.49 (4)	1.50 1.99 (5)	2.00 2.49 (6)	2.50 2.99 (7)	3.00 3.49 (8)	3.50 4.00 (9)	CAMP (10)	IR GPA (11)	GPA (12)	DIFF (13)	All CCC (14)	All TR (15)	All NAT (16)
BAKERSFIELD	1	1	1		0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	2.30	-0.30	2.87	2.69	2.80
CHICO	1	1	1		0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.48	2.56	-0.08	2.70	2.73	2.73
DOMINGUEZ HILLS	1	1	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.87	2.88	2.78
FRESNO	6	6	6		0	0	1	0	2	0	0	2.12	2.63	-0.51	2.64	2.69	2.77
FULLERTON	52	48	32		0	5	5	10	7	3	2	2.27	2.78	-0.51	2.82	2.84	2.83
HAYWARD	2	2	2		0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2.29	2.99	-0.70	2.73	2.78	2.68
HUMBOLDT	6	6	3		0	0	1	0	2	0	0	2.54	2.77	-0.23	2.70	2.73	2.70
LONG BEACH	24	23	17		0	1	1	2	1	4	4	2.88	3.10	-0.22	2.70	2.78	2.71
LOS ANGELES	7	6	5		0	1	0	2	1	0	1	1.96	2.47	-0.51	2.51	2.54	2.48
NORTHRIDGE	4	4	2		0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2.43	2.33	0.10	2.63	2.66	2.64
POMONA	63	49	35		0	0	0	8	8	10	3	2.69	2.98	-0.29	2.83	2.88	2.83
SACRAMENTO	8	8	6		0	0	0	0	4	1	1	2.82	3.10	-0.28	2.87	2.87	2.84
SAN BERNARDINO	106	99	58		0	2	14	8	15	14	5	2.60	2.83	-0.23	2.77	2.77	2.74
SAN DIEGO	37	35	25		1	3	4	9	5	3	3	2.35	2.84	-0.49	2.76	2.78	2.78
CALEXICO	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.79	2.79	0.00
SAN FRANCISCO	3	3	2		0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3.27	3.06	0.21	2.78	2.82	2.68
SAN JOSE	5	4	3		0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2.70	3.11	-0.41	2.74	2.74	2.66
SAN LUIS OBISPO	3	2	2		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2.28	3.11	-0.83	2.69	2.72	2.71
SONOMA	2	2	2		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.05	2.70	-0.65	2.83	2.84	2.71
STANISLAUS	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.94	2.94	2.81
SYSTEM TOTALS	331	300	201		2	13	34	48	46	38	20	2.54	2.86	-0.32	2.71	2.73	2.67

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY AND COLLEGES
FIRST TIME STUDENT PERFORMANCE REPORT

DATE = 03/08/82
INSTITUTION - RIVERSIDE CITY COLL
CODE - 339687

UNDERGRADUATE TRANSFERS
PART B EXCEPTIONAL ADMITS

TERM OF ENTRY - FALL 1980
CONTINUATION TERM - FALL 1981

CSUC CAMPUS	TOT (1)	NO. (2)	CON (3)	NO GPA (4)	0.01 1.49 (5)	1.50 1.99 (6)	2.00 2.49 (7)	2.50 2.99 (8)	3.00 3.49 (9)	3.50 4.00 (10)	CAMP GPA (11)	TR GPA (12)	DIFF (13)	ALL CCC (14)	ALL TR (15)	ALL NAT (16)	(1
BAKERSFIELD	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.67	2.69	2.60	2.
CHICO	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.70	2.73	2.73	2.
DOMINGUEZ HILLS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.87	2.88	2.78	2.
FRESNO	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.64	2.69	2.77	2.
FULLERTON	52	4	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1.99	2.33	-0.34	2.62	2.64	2.63	2.
HAYWARD	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.75	2.78	2.66	2.
HUMBOLDT	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.70	2.73	2.70	2.
LONG BEACH	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.76	2.78	2.71	2.
LOS ANGELES	7	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.67	2.25	-0.58	2.51	2.54	2.48	2.
NORTHRIDGE	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.63	2.66	2.64	2.
POMONA	69	14	10	0	0	1	1	4	1	0	2.25	2.73	-0.48	2.82	2.88	2.83	2.
SACRAMENTO	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.87	2.87	2.84	2.
SAN BERNARDINO	106	7	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.10	2.36	-0.26	2.77	2.77	2.64	2.
SAN DIEGO	37	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.44	2.45	-0.01	2.56	2.58	2.58	2.
CALEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.75	2.79	0.00	2.
SAN FRANCISCO	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.78	2.82	2.68	2.
SAN JOSE	5	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	2.43	-0.43	2.74	2.74	2.66	2.
SAN LUIS OBISPO	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2.44	2.30	0.08	3.09	2.72	2.72	2.
SONOMA	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.03	3.04	2.73	2.
STANISLAUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.94	2.94	2.81	2.
SYSTEM TOTALS	331	31	20	0	2	4	4	5	3	0	2.32	2.57	-0.25	2.71	2.73	2.67	2.

APPENDIX 2B

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY AND COLLEGES
FIRST TIME STUDENT PERFORMANCE REPORT

DATE = 02/10/83
INSTITUTION = RIVERSIDE CITY COLL
CODE = 335697

UNDERGRADUATE TRANSFERS
PART A REGULAR ADMITS

TERM OF ENTRY = FALL 1981
CONTINUATION TERM = FALL 1982

CSUC CAMPUS	TOT (1)	NO (2)	CON (3)	NO GPA (4)	0.01 1.99 (5)	2.00 2.29 (6)	2.30 2.49 (7)	2.50 2.69 (8)	2.70 2.99 (9)	3.00 3.29 (10)	3.30 CAP (11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)
BAKERSFIELD	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.34	2.00	2.00
CHICO	5	5	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2.72	2.72	0.00	2.50	2.00	2.00
DOMINGUEZ HILLS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
FRESNO	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	3.00	3.00	0.00	2.67	2.00	2.00
FULLERTON	49	47	35	2	2	3	5	12	7	2	2	2.82	2.87	0.00	2.74	2.00	2.00
HAYWARD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
HUMBOLDT	4	4	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	3.00	3.00	0.00	2.67	2.00	2.00
LONG BEACH	17	17	9	1	0	0	0	3	1	2	0	2.91	3.00	0.00	2.70	2.00	2.00
LOS ANGELES	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.11	2.11	0.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
NORTHRIDGE	12	10	8	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	2.93	3.01	0.00	2.73	2.00	2.00
POMONA	81	66	51	0	1	1	1	10	13	10	11	3.00	3.00	0.00	2.77	2.00	2.00
SACRAMENTO	3	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3.00	3.00	0.00	2.67	2.00	2.00
SAN BERNARDINO	9	9	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	3.00	3.00	0.00	2.67	2.00	2.00
SAN DIEGO	18	17	11	0	0	0	0	3	4	1	0	2.43	2.92	0.00	2.49	2.00	2.00
CALEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
SAN FRANCISCO	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
SAN JOSE	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
SONOMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STANISLAUS	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
SYSTEM TOTALS	311	288	192	3	6	18	44	92	46	23	2.78	2.91	0.18	2.70	2.72	2.00	2.00

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY AND COLLEGES
FIRST TIME STUDENT PERFORMANCE REPORT

DATE - 02/10/83
INSTITUTION - RIVERSIDE CITY COLL
CODE - 335687

UNDERGRADUATE TRANSFERS
PART B EXCEPTIONAL ADMITS

TERM OF ENTRY - FALL 1981
CONTINUATION TERM - FALL 1982

CSUC CAMPUS	TOT (11)	NO (12)	CON (13)	NO (14)	0.01 1.99 (15)	2.00 2.49 (16)	2.50 2.99 (17)	3.00 3.24 (18)	3.25 3.49 (19)	3.50 4.00 (110)	CAT (111)	CAT (112)	CAT (113)	CAT (114)	CAT (115)	CAT (116)	CAT (117)
BAKERSFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.24	2.60	2.30	2.
CHICO	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.26	2.68	2.31	2.
DOMINGUEZ HILLS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.
FRESNO	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.
FULLERTON	49	2	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1.90	0.50	2.42	2.00	2.00	2.
HAYWARD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.
HUMBOLDT	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.74	2.74	2.70	2.
LONG BEACH	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.76	2.76	2.70	2.
LOS ANGELES	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.76	2.76	2.70	2.
NORTHRIDGE	12	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.
POMONA	81	13	8	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	2.00	1.90	0.50	2.42	2.00	2.00	2.
SACRAMENTO	42	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.
SAN BERNARDINO	98	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.
SAN DIEGO	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.
CALEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.
SAN FRANCISCO	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.
SAN JOSE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.
SAN LUIS OBISPO	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.
SONOMA	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.
STANISLAUS	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.07	2.07	2.73	2.
SYSTEM TOTALS	311	25	13	1	1	1	3	1	2	0	2.39	2.18	0.17	2.70	2.72	2.69	2.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

APPENDIX 4A

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY AND COLLEGES
FIRST TIME STUDENT PERFORMANCE REPORT

DATE - 03/02/84
INSTITUTION - RIVERSIDE CITY COLL
CODE - 339687

UNDERGRADUATE TRANSFERS
PART A REGULAR ADMITS

TERM OF ENTRY - FALL 19[illegible]
CONTINUATION TERM - FALL 19[illegible]

CSUC CAMPUS	TOT (1)	NO. (2)	CON (3)	NO GPA (4)	0.01 1.49 (5)	1.50 1.99 (6)	2.00 2.49 (7)	2.50 2.99 (8)	3.00 3.49 (9)	3.50 4.00 (10)	CAMP GPA	TR GPA	DIFF	ALL CCC	ALL TR	ALL NAT	ALL ST
BAKERSFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
CHICO	4	4	3	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	2.47	2.92	-0.45	2.81	2.83	2.77	[illegible]
DOMINGUEZ HILLS	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.81	2.83	2.76	2.7
FRESNO	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3.14	2.92	0.22	2.70	2.71	2.74	2.7
FULLERTON	42	41	29	0	2	8	4	18	5	2	2.45	2.89	-0.44	2.65	2.68	2.63	2.6
HAYWARD	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
HUMBOLDT	6	6	5	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
LONG BEACH	20	19	16	0	0	0	0	7	6	1	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
LOS ANGELES	7	5	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
NORTHRIDGE	5	5	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.19	3.03	-0.84	2.63	2.67	2.62	2.6
POMONA	105	96	69	0	0	6	12	28	14	9	2.78	0.00	2.78	2.67	2.68	2.64	2.6
SACRAMENTO	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2.82	3.00	-0.18	2.84	2.85	2.86	2.8
SAN BERNARDINO	119	108	79	3	0	4	18	20	13	1	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
SAN DIEGO	9	9	4	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
CALEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.69	2.69	0.00	2.8
SAN FRANCISCO	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.45	2.08	0.36	2.73	2.76	2.65	2.6
SAN JOSE	6	6	5	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2.57	2.58	-0.01	2.74	2.76	2.65	2.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	3	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2.44	2.73	-0.29	2.73	2.74	2.74	2.7
SONOMA	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.83	3.11	0.72	2.91	2.93	2.69	2.6
STANISLAUS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
SYSTEM TOTALS	337	310	229	3	8	23	45	94	43	20	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

APPENDIX 4B

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY AND COLLEGES
FIRST TIME STUDENT PERFORMANCE REPORT

DATE - 03/02/84
INSTITUTION - RIVERSIDE CITY COLL
CODE - 335687

UNDERGRADUATE TRANSFERS
PART B EXCEPTIONAL ADMITS

TERM OF ENTRY - FALL 1983
CONTINUATION TERM - FALL 1983

CSUC CAMPUS	TOT (1)	NO. (2)	CON (3)	NO GPA (4)	0.01 1.49 (5)	1.50 1.99 (6)	2.00 2.49 (7)	2.50 2.99 (8)	3.00 3.49 (9)	3.50 4.00 (10)	CAMP GPA (11)	IR GPA (12)	DIFF	ALL CCC	ALL TR	ALL NAT	AL ST
BAKERSFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.82	2.80	2.97	2.8
CHICO	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.81	2.82	2.76	2.8
DOMINGUEZ HILLS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.82	2.82	2.76	2.8
FRESNO	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.70	2.71	2.74	2.7
FULLERTON	42	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	2.82	-0.82	2.65	2.68	2.63	2.6
HAYWARD	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
HUMBOLDT	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
LONG BEACH	20	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
LOS ANGELES	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2.00	0.00	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
NORTHRIDGE	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.63	2.67	2.62	2.6
POMONA	105	9	7	0	0	3	0	2	1	1	2.40	0.00	2.40	2.87	2.88	2.84	2.9
SACRAMENTO	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.85	2.85	2.86	2.8
SAN BERNARDINO	119	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
SAN DIEGO	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2.00	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
CALEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
SAN FRANCISCO	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.73	2.76	2.62	2.7
SAN JOSE	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.73	2.76	2.62	2.7
SAN LUIS OBISPO	3	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.67	2.81	-1.14	2.71	2.74	2.74	2.9
SONOMA	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.91	2.93	2.69	2.9
STANISLAUS	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
SYSTEM TOTALS	337	27	19	0	1	7	5	4	1	1	2.11	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY AND COLLEGES
FIRS TIME STUDENT PERFORMANCE REPORT

INSTITUTION - RIVERSIDE CITY COLL
CODE - 335687

UNDERGRADUATE TRANSFERS
PART A REGULAR ADMITS

TERM OF ENTRY - FALL 1983
CONTINUATION TERM - FALL 1984

CSUC CAMPUS	TOT (1)	NO. (2)	CON (3)	NO GPA (4)	0.01 1.49 (5)	1.50 1.99 (6)	2.00 2.49 (7)	2.50 2.99 (8)	3.00 3.49 (9)	3.50 4.00 (10)	CAMP GPA (11)	TR GPA (12)	DIFF (13)	ALL CCC (14)	ALL TR (15)	ALL NAT (16)	AL ST (17
BAKERSFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.59	2.62	2.48	2.6
CHICO	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.79	3.14	0.65	2.59	2.61	2.66	2.6
DOMINGUEZ HILLS	4	3	3	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	2.40	2.26	0.14	2.78	2.79	2.70	2.7
FRESNO	7	5	4	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	2.63	2.29	0.38	2.69	2.71	2.73	2.7
FULLERTON	48	48	34	0	0	4	6	10	9	5	2.78	2.94	-0.16	2.63	2.66	2.63	2.6
HAYWARD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.71	2.74	2.61	2.7
HUMBOLDT	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2.51	2.18	0.33	2.69	2.72	2.71	2.7
LONG BEACH	18	17	13	0	0	1	5	1	4	2	2.76	2.67	0.09	2.73	2.75	2.68	2.7
LOS ANGELES	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.51	2.52	2.50	2.5
NORTHRIDGE	4	4	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.05	3.53	-1.48	2.62	2.64	2.62	2.6
POMONA	92	80	59	1	0	5	15	18	13	7	2.75	0.00	2.75	2.66	2.68	2.63	2.6
SACRAMENTO	5	5	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3.13	2.34	0.79	2.83	2.83	2.85	2.8
SAN BERNARDINO	123	113	71	2	4	8	15	14	17	11	2.71	2.85	-0.14	2.78	2.78	2.60	2.7
SAN DIEGO	15	13	11	0	1	3	0	2	4	1	2.55	2.86	-0.31	2.48	2.51	2.50	2.5
CALEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.83	2.83	0.00	2.7
SAN FRANCISCO	3	3	3	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	2.88	2.94	-0.06	2.73	2.76	2.63	2.7
SAN JOSE	4	4	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1.97	2.37	-0.40	2.74	2.76	2.64	2.7
SAN LUIS OBISPO	6	4	4	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	2.51	3.00	-0.49	2.63	2.66	2.73	2.6
SONOMA	2	2	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1.68	2.48	-0.80	2.94	2.96	2.69	2.9
STANISLAUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.86	2.88	2.77	2.8
SYSTEM TOTALS	343	309	212	3	6	24	49	50	52	28	2.71	2.82	-0.11	2.68	2.70	2.64	2.6

UNDERGRADUATE TRANSFERS
PART B EXCEPTIONAL ADMITS

TERM OF ENTRY - FALL 1983
CONTINUATION TERM - FALL 1984

CSUC CAMPUS	TOT (1)	NO. (2)	CON (3)	NO GPA (4)	0.01 1.49 (5)	1.50 1.99 (6)	2.00 2.49 (7)	2.50 2.99 (8)	3.00 3.49 (9)	3.50 4.00 (10)	CAMP GPA (11)	TR GPA (12)	DIFF (13)	ALL CCC (14)	ALL TR (15)	ALL NAT (16)	ALL ST (17)
BAKERSFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.59	2.62	2.48	2.61
CHICO	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2.66	1.75	0.91	2.59	2.61	2.66	2.66
DOMINGUEZ HILLS	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2.70	2.27	0.43	2.78	2.79	2.70	2.79
FRESNO	7	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.40	2.86	-0.46	2.69	2.71	2.73	2.72
FULLERTON	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.63	2.66	2.63	2.66
HAYWARD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.71	2.74	2.61	2.73
HUMBOLDT	3	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.49	3.00	-0.51	2.69	2.72	2.71	2.71
LONG BEACH	18	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.88	1.57	0.31	2.73	2.75	2.68	2.73
LOS ANGELES	6	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3.00	1.89	1.11	2.51	2.52	2.50	2.56
NORTHRIDGE	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.62	2.64	2.62	2.63
POMONA	92	12	7	0	0	3	1	3	0	0	2.27	0.00	2.27	2.66	2.68	2.63	2.66
SACRAMENTO	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.83	2.83	2.85	2.83
SAN BERNARDINO	123	10	7	0	0	2	0	1	3	1	2.75	1.91	0.84	2.78	2.78	2.60	2.78
SAN DIEGO	15	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2.55	3.12	-0.57	2.48	2.51	2.50	2.51
CALEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.83	2.83	0.00	2.79
SAN FRANCISCO	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.73	2.76	2.63	2.73
SAN JOSE	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.74	2.76	2.64	2.76
SAN LUIS OBISPO	6	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1.10	2.00	-0.90	2.63	2.66	2.73	2.66
SONOMA	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.94	2.96	2.69	2.91
STANISLAUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.86	2.88	2.77	2.81
SYSTEM TOTALS	343	34	23	0	1	6	5	6	4	1	2.45	2.18	0.27	2.68	2.70	2.64	2.68

APPENDIX 5B

RIVERSIDE COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

RIVERSIDE CITY COLLEGE STUDENTS

WHO TRANSFERRED TO

THE

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Office of Research and Planning
Fall, 1985

INTRODUCTION

Riverside City College has a long history of commitment to its transfer function. During its early years, it saw its business as more to transfer students to four-year colleges and universities than to train people for the world of work. This was natural because there were no universities at Riverside, San Bernardino, Pomona or Fullerton. Riverside Junior College and later, Riverside College, was the postsecondary institution of Riverside County. Students would complete their lower division work here and then typically transfer to Berkeley, Stanford, Santa Barbara or San Diego State.

In the early 1970's, Riverside City College, for its size, transferred more students to the University of California than any other community college in the state, except for Santa Barbara. Since 1975, however, our number of students transferring has declined along with the rest of the community colleges. There are a number of reasons for this: increasing numbers of students with deficient academic skills, decreasing numbers of full-time students, more students seeking to develop new or upgrade old job skills, fewer "college age" students, increasing numbers of older students--many of whom already have four-year degrees and increasing competition for freshmen students from other segments of higher education.

In the California Postsecondary Education Commission's publication (March, 1985), Reaffirming California's Commitment to Transfer, the dilemma of the open door college is well stated.

> "California is committed in its 1960 Master Plan and in subsequent legislation to offer all adults access to postsecondary education in the Community Colleges without regard to their previous educational attainment, and the opportunity to continue as long and as far as they are able to meet the standards of the institution in which they are enrolled. Among those availing themselves of such opportunity in the Community Colleges are high school dropouts, native- and foreign-born students with limited English language skills, students only slightly underprepared for college, and transfer-ready students who enroll for all kinds of reasons. As a result, their aspirations and objectives are as varied as their educational backgrounds and abilities.
>
> The job of the Community Colleges is neither to track students into curricula on the basis of the skills and abilities they demonstrate when they first enroll, nor to give them open access to courses without regard to their ability to succeed in them. Instead, through assessment, counseling, placement, and follow-up, the colleges should assist new students to gain the skills and knowledge they need in order to achieve their educational objectives.
>
> For students who do not get this kind of assistance, the open door often becomes a revolving door: they enroll in the courses of their choice and then drop out or change their objectives when they find that they are unable to do satisfactory work. The Community Colleges are committed to offering instruction appropriate to the needs and interests of their students within their broad mission and function, while maintaining standards appropriate to a collegiate institution that awards degrees and transfers its students to institutions granting the baccalaureate degree.

The dilemma which Community Colleges face is how to (1) have open access for all adults, and (2) maintain academic standards while (3) meeting the needs and responding to the interests of an increasingly diversified student population. Commitment to access and quality continues to be a "given" in the opinion of the Commission and State policy makers generally. The Commission believes that the Community College dilemma can be resolved by the ways in which they respond to incoming students' needs for assessment, counseling, placement in courses, and monitoring of their progress toward their goals.

These services are particularly important for the many students who indicate when they first enroll an intent to work toward a baccalaureate degree, either assisting them to acquire the necessary skills and fulfill transfer requirements or, for those who are unable or unwilling to achieve a transfer-ready level, helping them choose alternative goals and revising their educational plans accordingly. Such services should be a part of the enrollment procedures for all new Community College students, regardless of their ultimate objectives, as one means for the colleges to help students achieve their full potential after remedying their educational deficiencies."

RIVERSIDE CITY COLLEGE STUDENTS WHO TRANSFERRED TO THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Figure 1 shows a steady increase (with the one exception of a 4% decline in 1966) in the number of RCC students who transferred to UC from 1963 through 1975. Since 1975, there has been a steady, sharp decline until the fall of 1982.

FIGURE 1

RIVERSIDE CITY COLLEGE STUDENTS
WHO TRANSFERRED TO
THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
FIRST TIME FALL TRANSFERS

1963-1984



YEAR	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84
# OF STUDENTS	31		52		85		147		180		233		190		133		98		103		*96	
	21		54		81		116		156		232		257		155		131		81		102	
% +/- COMPARED TO PREVIOUS YR	48		(4)		5		27		15		0		(67)		(14)		(24)		27		(4)	
	74		56		36		6		29		10		(35)		(2)		(17)		(1)			

SOURCE: University of California

*PRELIMINARY FIGURES FROM CALIFORNIA POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION COMMISSION (CPEC)

It should be noted at the outset that the summary reports provided by UC, and from which data for 1981, '82 and '83 is reproduced, give admissions and performance data for regularly admitted students who entered in the fall and who completed the spring term. No performance data are given for students admitted by special action, students who enrolled after the fall, or who dropped out. Prior to 1981, enrollment and performance data were compiled either from summary or individual reports provided by UC and permanent records maintained by RCC.

Figure 2 indicates the basis for admission to the University of California over the most recent six year period. "Regular" admits include both those students who were eligible to attend UC on the strength of their high school records and those students who were ineligible because of subject and/or scholarship deficiencies in high school but subsequently became eligible on the basis of their community college records. Unfortunately, UC no longer provides separate information about these two important dichotomies. "Special Action" admits are students admitted in exception to the regular admission requirements. Data are given for all California Community Colleges as well as Riverside City College. UC provided no statewide data for 1981 entrants.

Summary figures in numbers and percentages for the six year period, 1978-83, are given in Figure 3. Figure 4 indicates the total number of entrants to UC from RCC and all California Community Colleges (CCC) in two different scales over the six year period. Numbers of Special Action admits are illustrated in Figure 5. Note that in all Figures, 2-6, UC did not provide statewide data for fall, 1981 entrants. Where possible, data from the California Postsecondary Education Commission (CPEC) was used to fill in the gap.

In Figure 6, note that over the six year period from 1978 through 1983, Riverside City College had a much higher percentage of its transfers admitted by special action than did all of California's Community Colleges, 24% versus 14%. With such a high percentage of special action admissions, the question naturally arises whether the special admit students wouldn't be better off remaining at the community college until they make themselves eligible. Because of the numbers involved, a study by UC, CSU or CPEC spotlighting special action or "exceptional admits" (CSU term) would be welcome.

Although UC no longer provides summary data for special action students, they do provide individual grade reports. From these reports, it is possible to determine the basis for admission. The 29 special action admits from RCC to UC in fall, 1983 earned a respectable 2.575 overall grade point average at UC. Five (17%) were below a C average and seven (24%) were B and above. Berkeley and Davis attracted one student each, Irvine and Santa Barbara two each and Riverside drew the remaining 23. Differentials were difficult to compute because Community College GPA's were provided by UC for only four students. Interestingly, two of the four had plus differentials (+.737 for an Irvine transfer and +.308 for a student at Riverside). For those two, they earned higher GPA's at UC than they had at RCC.

BASIS FOR ADMISSION TO THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

RIVERSIDE CITY COLLEGE
AND
CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES
A COMPARISON: 1978-1983

















No CCC data for
1981





FIGURE 2

BASIS FOR ADMISSION TO THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

RIVERSIDE CITY COLLEGE
AND
CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES
A COMPARISON: 1978-1983

SUMMARY

TOTAL RCC



TOTAL CCC



FIGURE 3

TOTAL ENTRANTS



SOURCE: UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, EXCEPT FOR 1981 (1981 CCC FIGURE IS FROM CPEC)

FIGURE 4

TOTAL SPECIAL ACTION



FIGURE 5



SOURCE: UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

FIGURE 6

The first portion of Figure 7 (1963-75) can be interpreted in a number of different ways. Nevertheless, it illustrates a fairly consistent GPA for students from RCC prior to transfer in the 2.8-2.9 range. After transferring, there was a rather wide grade point average differential (difference between RCC GPA and UC GPA) in the middle 60's. By the late 60's and early 70's, the gap considerably narrowed. One interpretation could be that UC was undergoing grade inflation during the period. Another could be that RCC was sending UC increasingly better students.

The last part of figure 6 picks up the GPA comparisons six years later. The RCC GPA of 1981, when compared with 1963-75, illustrates probably the peak of grade inflation at RCC. The last two years are evidence that we are making progress in reducing grade inflation and, as a result, the differential has been reduced.

FIGURE 7

RIVERSIDE CITY COLLEGE STUDENTS
WHO TRANSFERRED TO
THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
ALL STUDENTS



YEAR	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	81	82	83
RCC	2.76	2.98	2.91	2.86	2.89	2.89	2.98							3.31	3.02	
		2.89	2.89	2.81	2.89	2.93	2.83								3.16	
UC	1.94	2.30	2.60	2.50	2.48	2.73	2.75							2.73	2.75	
		2.28	2.42	2.42	2.58	2.55	2.68								2.80	
DIFF.	-.82	-.68	-.31	-.36	-.41	-.16	-.23							-.58	-.27	
		-.61	-.47	-.39	-.31	-.38	-.15								-.36	

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

To account for the gap in Figure 7, it is important to know that from 1971-80, UC gave separate data for high school eligibles and ineligibles. Figure 8 illustrates a differential fluctuation from a low of .11 (1976) to a high of .46 (1978) for our eligibles. About 1 in 4 RCC students were classified as eligible from high school while three-fourths of the transfer students were originally ineligible.

FIGURE 8

RIVERSIDE CITY COLLEGE STUDENTS
WHO TRANSFERRED TO
THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
STUDENTS ELIGIBLE FROM HIGH SCHOOL



YEAR	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80
RCC	3.24	3.08	3.13	3.23	3.28	3.11	3.30	3.41	3.29	3.26
UC	3.00	2.92	2.83	3.11	2.83	3.00	3.07	2.95	3.04	2.91
DIFF.	-.24	-.16	-.30	-.12	-.45	-.11	-.23	-.46	-.25	-.35

Figure 9 is the corollary of the previous figure for the same ten year period. Generally, differentials have been smaller for ineligibles than eligibles; however, for 1979 and 1980, the differentials strikingly widened.

FIGURE 9

RIVERSIDE CITY COLLEGE STUDENTS
WHO TRANSFERRED TO
THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
STUDENTS INELIGIBLE FROM HIGH SCHOOL



YEAR	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80
RCC	3.00	3.07	2.91	2.84	2.93	3.05	2.94	3.18	3.10	3.25
UC	2.70	2.82	2.82	2.77	2.84	2.77	2.78	2.93	2.67	2.46
DIFF	-.30	-.25	-.09	-.07	-.09	-.28	-.16	-.25	-.43	-.79

Table 1 covers a three year period in which UC provided data for four categories of students. It broke our students out into four classifications as the basis for admission to UC. RCC students could be compared with students from all California Community Colleges. RCC students had lower differentials in eight out of nine categories. They had a smaller percentage below C in six out of nine categories and had a higher percentage of B average or above in six of the nine comparisons. The figures for 1980-81 caused RCC some concern, particularly with our ineligibles from high school. As a result, RCC began a strategy to reduce grade inflation which had been insidiously creeping up over a number of years. The results of this effort may be found in another document entitled Grading Practices at RCC: An Update.

Table 2 indicates the majors for students from RCC who transferred to UC in the fall terms of 1979 through 1983. UC Riverside captured the majority of our students followed by Irvine, Santa Barbara and Los Angeles. Undecideds aside, biology and administrative studies were the most popular majors, followed by liberal studies and computer science.

In summary, we send more students to UC than most community colleges in the state, ranking 19th out of 70 districts for 1984. There is no doubt that we are in direct competition for top high school students from the University of California, Riverside and California State University, San Bernardino. Both of these institutions have space available and are aggressively recruiting students. In a recent newspaper article, a UCR official speculated that this year's (1985) dramatic increase in the size of the freshman class (up 34% over 1984) was at least partly attributable to "stepped up contact" with high school guidance counselors. This strategy of UC and CSU goes against the recommendations of the 1960 Master Plan, which encouraged enrollment at community colleges during the freshman and sophomore years. Furthermore, community colleges do not have the resources that UC and CSU do to mount and maintain effective recruitment campaigns.

Table 3 covers the three most recent years for which UC has provided data. Comparative statewide data were not given for students entering UC in the fall of 1981; so, comparisons between performance of RCC students and all California Community College students (CCC) can be made only for 1982 and 1983. Except for UC, Riverside, the numbers of RCC transfers are too small to make statistically significant statements about individual campuses. It is of interest to note, however, that the six students who transferred to UCLA in fall, 1983 came out with a positive grade point average (2.515 GPA at RCC and a 2.754 GPA at UCLA = +.239 differential).

Looking at the summary totals, our differentials for 1982 and 1983 were both lower than statewide averages; however, the percentages of students below C and above B were a draw. RCC had fewer students below C in 1982 and more in 1983. We had fewer students above B in 1982 but more in 1983.

TABLE 1

STUDENTS FROM RCC WHO TRANSFERRED TO UC

YEAR	SCHOOL	GPA	UC	DIFFERENTIAL	% BELOW C	% B OR ABOVE
(A) ELIGIBLE FROM HIGH SCHOOL						
1978-79	RCC:	3.406	2.951	-0.455	7.6%	38.4%
	ALL CC'S:	3.335	2.859	-0.476	8.6%	40.4%
1979-80	RCC:	3.292	3.044	-0.248	5.0%	55.0%
	ALL CC'S:	3.338	2.884	-0.454	7.1%	42.9%
1980-81	RCC:	3.259	2.912	-0.347	14.0%	44.0%
	ALL CC'S:	3.309	2.907	-0.402	9.1%	43.3%
(B) INELIGIBLE FROM HIGH SCHOOL						
1978-79	RCC:	3.181	2.925	-0.256	5.3%	42.8%
	ALL CC'S:	3.226	2.761	-0.465	11.5%	32.7%
1979-80	RCC:	3.096	2.666	-0.430	8.3%	22.9%
	ALL CC'S:	3.217	2.756	-0.463	11.5%	33.6%
1980-81	RCC:	3.246	2.458	-0.788	19.0%	23.8%
	ALL CC'S:	3.208	2.743	-0.465	11.7%	32.2%
(C) SPECIAL ACTION AND ELIGIBLE FROM HIGH SCHOOL						
We had no students in 1978-79 under this category and only one in 1979-80.						
1980-81	RCC:	2.607	2.498	-0.109	37.5%	25.0%
	ALL CC'S:	2.787	2.482	-0.305	30.7%	20.5%
(D) SPECIAL ACTION AND INELIGIBLE FROM HIGH SCHOOL						
1978-79	RCC:	2.903	2.748	-0.155	12.9%	38.7%
	ALL CC'S:	2.960	2.516	-0.444	21.0%	21.3%
1979-80	RCC:	2.803	2.709	-0.094	11.5%	23.0%
	ALL CC'S:	3.004	2.484	-0.520	21.4%	20.5%
1980-81	RCC:	We had only one student under this category in 1980-81				
	ALL CC'S:	3.000	2.478	-0.522	20.4%	29.1%

MAJORS FOR RCC STUDENTS WHO TRANSFERRED TO A UC CAMPUS IN FALL QUARTER, 1979-1983

Major	79	80	81	82	83	Major	79	80	81	82	83
BERKELEY											
Economics		1				Political Science				2	1
Elec Engr Computer Sci		1				Sociology				1	1
Physics		2				Undeclared					1
TOTAL 10											
DAVIS											
Applied Physics		1				International Agriculture	1				
Atmospheric Science		1				International Relations			1		
Biological Sciences					1	Range & Wildlands		1			
Economics			1			Zoology	1	1			
Exploratory	1										
TOTAL 10											
IRVINE											
Art, Studio	1	1		1	1	Humanities/Unaffiliated			1		
Biological Sciences	2	1			1	Information & Comp Sci	1			1	
Dance			1			Mathmatics	1			1	
Drama		1			2	Physics		1		1	
Economics					1	Psychology	1			1	
Engineering, Electrical		1				Social Ecology				1	1
Engineering, Mechanical	1					Social Science	1				
English	1					Undeclared			1		
						Unknown			1		
TOTAL 30											
LOS ANGELES											
Art History		1			1	Mathematics					1
Economics		1	2			Music	1				
Engineering	1					Political Science				1	1
English		1				Pre-Psychology					2
Ethnic Arts, Interdispln	1					Public Health				1	
History		1			1	Spanish & Linguistics	1				
Linguistics & Comp Sci				1		Undeclared			1		
Linguistics & Psychology			1								
TOTAL 21											

TABLE 2

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Major	79	80	81	82	83
RIVERSIDE					
Administrative Studies	5	6	9	8	6
Anthropology	2				
Art	4	4	2		1
Art History					1
Biochemistry	5	3	1		1
Biology	11	8	7	5	6
Biomedical Science			1		
Botany		1	1	2	1
Business Economics				1	1
Chemistry	4		2	1	3
Chicano Studies			1		
Comparative Literature					1
Computer Science	3	6	2	4	6
Cooperative		2	1		
Dance	1			2	
Double		1		1	1
Economics		1	3	1	2
English	6	5	3	5	1
Environmental Science	1	1	2		1
Geography		1	1	2	
Geology	2	3	1	3	3
Geophysics		1			
German			1		
History	2	2	2	4	3
Human Development	4	2	3	2	3
Joint/Double	3			3	3
Language				2	
Liberal Studies	7	3	3	6	7
Mathematics				1	3
Music	2	1	2	2	
Paleobiology	1				
Philosophy	1	1	1		
Physics		2	1	2	4
Plant Science	1				1
Political Science	3	2	4	5	1
Pre-Engineering				1	
Psychobiology	1	1		1	
Psychology	8		3	3	2
Russian Studies				1	
Social Relations	2	2	1		1
Sociology	7	4	1	2	1
Soil Science	1				
Spanish	2	3	1		
Statistics	1				
Theater	2	1		1	1
Undeclared	14	9	2	11	12
Unknown			1		
TOTAL 404					
SAN DIEGO					
Biology		1		1	1
Communications	1				
French			1		
Population Biology		1			
Pre EECS				2	
Undeclared	1				
TOTAL 9					

TABLE 2 (cont'd)

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Major	79	80	81	82	83
SANTA BARBARA					
Biological Science	2				
Business Economics				1	2
Computer Science					1
Dramatic Art			1		
English					1
Environmental Studies				1	
French	1				
Hispanic Civilization	1				
History					1
TOTAL 25					
SANTA CRUZ					
Biology			1		
Earth Science	1	1			
TOTAL 4					

Major	79	80	81	82	83
Italian	1				
Liberal Studies				1	1
Physical Education	1	1			
Political Science				1	
Psychology		1		2	1
Sociology			1		
Undeclared			1		
Zoology					1
Literature					1

TOTALS:

Fall, 1979	131
Fall, 1980	98
Fall, 1981	81
Fall, 1982	103
Fall, 1983	102
Grand Total	515

Totals include only new students who entered in the fall quarter and do not include students who entered in the winter or spring quarters.

TABLE 2 (cont'd)

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

TABLE 2A

Rank Order
of
Majors for RCC Students Who Transferred
to a
UC Campus in Fall Quarter, 1979-1983

Rank	Major	Number
1.5	Biological Sciences	56
1.5	Undeclared	56
3	Administrative Studies/Business Economics	39
4	Liberal Studies	28
5	Computer Science	27
6	English	26
7	Sociology	25
8	Psychology	24
9	Political Science	22
10	Chemistry/Biochemistry	20
11	Art	18
12	Foreign Language	17
13	History	16
15	Geology/Earth Science	15
15	Physics	15
15	Joint/Double/Cooperative	15
17	Human Development	14
18	Economics	13
19	Theater/Drama	9
21	Environmental Studies/Science/Social Ecology	8
21	Mathematics	8
21	Music	8
23.5	Agriculture/Plant/Soil Science	5
24.5	Botany	5
26	Dance	4
26	Engineering	4
26	Geography	4
28	Philosophy	3
30	Anthropology	2
30	Ethnic/Chicano Studies	2
30	Physical Education	2
31.5	Humanities	1
31.5	Public Health	1
		512

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

TABLE 2B

Alphabetical Order
of
Majors for RCC Students Who Transferred
to a
UC Campus in Fall Quarter, 1979-1983

Rank	Major	Number
3	Administrative Studies/Business Economics	39
23.5	Agriculture/Plant/Soil Science	5
30	Anthropology	2
11	Art	18
1.5	Biological Sciences	56
24.5	Botany	5
10	Chemistry/Biochemistry	20
5	Computer Science	27
26	Dance	4
18	Economics	13
26	Engineering	4
6	English	26
21	Environmental Studies/Science/Social Ecology	8
30	Ethnic/Chicano Studies	2
12	Foreign Language	17
26	Geography	4
15	Geology/Earth Science	15
13	History	16
17	Human Development	14
31.5	Humanities	1
15	Joint/Double/Cooperative	15
4	Liberal Studies	28
21	Mathematics	8
21	Music	8
28	Philosophy	3
30	Physical Education	2
15	Physics	15
9	Political Science	22
8	Psychology	24
31.5	Public Health	1
7	Sociology	25
19	Theater/Drama	9
1.5	Undeclared	56
		512

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

FIRST YEAR PERFORMANCE FOR
CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE AND RIVERSIDE CITY COLLEGE TRANSFERS ENTERING
THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA IN THE FALL QUARTER OR SEMESTER

CAMPUS	YEAR	# TOTAL ENTRANTS	# SPEC ACTION	% SPEC ACTION	# COM SPRING	% COM SPRING	% SUBJ A NOT MET	GRADE POINT AVERAGE CCC	GRADE POINT AVERAGE UC	GRADE POINT AVERAGE +/- DIFF	COURSES COMPLETED FOR LETTER GRADE AVERAGE UNITS	% BELOW C	% ABOVE B
Berkeley													
RCC	1981	0	0	0.0%	--	--	.0%	.000	.000	.000	.0	.0%	.0%
CCC	1982	811	90	11.1%	--	--	5.7%	3.364	2.861	-.503	35.5	10.2	43.0%
RCC	1982	3	0	0.0%	--	--	.0%	3.125	2.948	-.177	43.7	.0%	33.3%
CCC	1983	831	96	11.6	759	91.3%	5.2%	3.446	2.835	-.611	34.3	12.4%	42.6%
RCC	1983	3	1	33.3%	3	100.0%	.0%	3.802	3.540	-.262	43.5	.0%	100.0%
Davis													
RCC	1981	2	0	0.0%	--	--	.0%	2.985	1.897	-1.088	16.0	50.0%	.0%
CCC	1982	675	89	13.2%	--	--	4.1%	3.268	2.811	-.457	34.6	12.8%	38.9%
RCC	1982	0	0	0.0%	--	--	.0%	.000	.000	.000	.0	.0%	.0%
CCC	1983	706	110	15.6%	650	92.1%	4.7%	3.251	2.750	-.500	33.8	13.3%	32.6%
RCC	1983	1	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	.0%	.000	.000	.000	.0	.0%	.0%
Irvine													
RCC	1981	4	2	50.0%	--	--	50.0%	3.411	2.076	-1.334	15.0	50.0%	.0%
CCC	1982	489	78	16.0%	--	--	6.3%	3.246	2.787	-.459	35.0	13.1%	39.7%
RCC	1982	6	0	0.0%	--	--	.0%	3.084	2.486	-.598	41.3	.0%	16.7%
CCC	1983	555	95	17.1%	484	87.1%	5.2%	3.234	2.791	-.443	34.8	12.0%	37.4%
RCC	1983	7	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	.0%	3.004	2.505	-.499	40.9	40.0%	20.0%

TABLE 3

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

CAMPUS	YEAR	# TOTAL ENTRANTS	# SPEC ACTION	% SPEC ACTION	# COM SPRING	% COM SPRING	% SUBJ A NOT MET	GRADE POINT AVERAGE CCC	UC	+/- DIFF	COURSES COMPLETED FOR LETTER GRADE AVERAGE UNITS	% BELOW C	% ABOVE B
Los Angeles													
RCC	1981	4	0	0.0%	--	--	.0%	3.142	1.732	-1.410	19.0	75.0%	25.0%
CCC	1982	997	135	27.2%	--	--	19.7%	3.193	2.670	-.523	31.8	17.1%	29.5%
RCC	1982	3	2	66.7%	--	--	.0%	3.143	2.767	-.376	107.0	.0%	.0%
CCC	1983	991	103	10.4%	858	86.6%	21.6%	3.222	2.711	-.511	30.8	16.7%	32.6%
RCC	1983	6	0	0.0%	4	66.7%	16.7%	2.515	2.754	+.239	24.7	33.3%	33.3%
Riverside													
RCC	1981	66	12	18.2%	--	--	3.7%	3.330	2.798	-.533	33.0	13.0%	38.9%
CCC	1982	240	43	17.9%	--	--	7.1%	3.225	2.738	-.488	34.9	14.6%	29.8%
RCC	1982	82	18	22.0%	--	--	7.8%	3.226	2.839	-.387	33.2	10.9%	37.5%
CCC	1983	201	50	24.9%	175	87.1%	7.9%	3.408	2.886	-.522	35.6	13.9%	44.4%
RCC	1983	75	23	30.7%	61	81.3%	9.6%	3.360	2.770	-.590	32.8	23.1%	38.5%
San Diego													
RCC	1981	1	1	100.0%	--	--	.0%	.000	.000	.000	.0	.0%	.0%
CCC	1982	415	58	14.0%	--	--	13.9%	3.246	2.845	-.401	29.4	13.3%	45.1%
RCC	1982	3	0	0.0%	--	--	.0%	2.979	2.877	-.102	38.0	.0%	33.3%
CCC	1983	450	46	10.2%	392	87.1%	12.4%	3.258	2.898	-.360	29.8	12.9%	41.6%
RCC	1983	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	.0%	3.226	2.156	-1.070	36.0	.0%	.0%

TABLE 3 (cont'd)

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

CAMPUS	YEAR	# TOTAL ENTRANTS	# SPEC ACTION	% SPEC ACTION	# COM SPRING	% COM SPRING	% SUBJ A NOT MET	GRADE POINT AVERAGE CCC	GRADE POINT AVERAGE UC	GRADE POINT AVERAGE +/- DIFF	COURSES COMPLETED FOR LETTER GRADE AVERAGE UNITS	% BELOW C	% ABOVE B
Santa Barbara													
RCC	1981	3	1	33.3%	--	--	.0%	3.739	3.314	-.425	26.0	.0%	50.0%
CCC	1982	952	212	22.7%	--	--	4.7%	3.170	2.750	-.420	35.1	10.1%	35.6%
RCC	1982	6	0	0.0%	--	--	16.7%	3.094	2.611	-.484	33.7	.0%	16.7%
CCC	1983	952	198	20.8%	856	89.9%	3.4%	3.114	2.683	-.431	34.3	14.1%	30.4%
RCC	1983	8	2	25.0%	8	100.0%	.0%	3.057	2.738	-.319	35.8	.0%	50.0%
Santa Cruz													
RCC	1981	1	0	0.0%	--	--	.0%	3.389	.000	.000	.0	.0%	.0%
CCC	1982	366	45	12.3%	--	--	10.3%	3.222	.000	.000	.0	.0%	.0%
RCC	1982	0	0	0.0%	--	--	.0%	.000	.000	.000	.0	.0%	.0%
CCC	1983	400	46	11.5%	352	88.0%	4.0%	3.242	.000	.000	.0	.0%	.0%
RCC	1983	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	.0%	3.333	.000	.000	.0	.0%	.0%
Summary Totals													
RCC	1981	81	16	19.8%	--	--	4.6%	3.305	2.726	-.579	30.3	20.0%	35.4%
CCC	1982	4945	750	15.2%	--	--	9.2%	3.243	2.776	-.468	33.8	12.9%	37.2%
RCC	1982	103	20	19.4%	--	--	7.2%	3.158	2.796	-.362	35.3	8.4%	33.7%
CCC	1983	5086	744	14.6%	4526	89.0%	8.8%	3.241	2.764	-.477	31.0	13.8%	35.9%
RCC	1983	102	29	28.4%	84	82.4%	8.2%	3.019	2.752	-.267	32.9	22.2%	38.9%

TABLE 3 (cont'd)

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Table 4 illustrates that our students do not transfer to UC and CSU at the same ethnic percentages that they enter RCC. Rates drop for American Indians, Blacks and Hispanics but increase for Asians, Filipinos and Whites. Of concern is that the Hispanic transfer percentage from RCC to UC has declined over the past three years and the same thing has happened to Black enrollments at CSU. Both Blacks and Hispanics at UC dropped sharply between 1983 and 1984.

TABLE 4

ETHNIC DISTRIBUTION OF RIVERSIDE CITY COLLEGE FRESHMAN AGE 19 AND UNDER (FALL 1981) AND TRANSFER STUDENTS TO THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AND THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY (FALL 1982, 1983, 1984)

ETHNICITY (By Percentage)

	TOTAL N	AMERICAN INDIAN	ASIAN	FILIPINO	BLACK	HISPANIC	WHITE	UNKNOWN
RCC FRESHMEN								
Fall, 1981	1,679	2.8	1.2	0.5	12.1	13.3	70.1	2.5
UC TRANSFERS								
Fall, 1982	112	0.0	3.7	0.0	10.3	11.2	74.8	2.7
1983	104	1.1	1.1	1.1	10.6	9.6	76.6	1.9
1984	96	0.0	4.3	1.1	5.4	6.6	82.6	2.1
CSU TRANSFERS								
Fall, 1982	337	1.0	3.8	0.6	10.5	9.6	74.5	3.6
1983	342	1.6	5.7	0.0	10.1	9.5	73.1	4.1
1984	314	0.3	6.2	0.0	7.9	10.0	75.6	3.8

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

ERIC CLEARINGHOUSE FOR JUNIOR COLLEGES
APR 4 1986